

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,481	11/29/2006	Stan Kubow	MGU0036US.NP	1887
26259 7590 07/28/2009 LICATA & TYRRELL P.C.		EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN STREET			CARTER, KENDRA D	
MARLTON, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,481	KUBOW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KENDRA D. CARTER	1617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

- If NO - Failu Any	SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. ) period for reply is specified above. He maximum statution period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office date than three mentins after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any deplatent term adjustment. See 3 CPER 1.74(b).
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2006.
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ accepted or b) _ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received

#### Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,481 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claims 1 and 3, are drawn to a method for inhibiting or reducing a proinflammatory response in a diseased cell of the respiratory tract comprising contacting the cell with an agent that increases ceramide levels in the cell.
- Group II, claim 2, is drawn to a method for preventing acute respiratory inflammation comprising administering an agent which increases ceramide levels.
- III. Group III, claim 4, is drawn to a method for inducing an inflammatory response in a cell comprising contacting a cell with an agent that increases the levels of ceramide in the cell.

Art Unit: 1617

IV. Group IV, claim 4, is drawn to a method for preventing or reducing a respiratory

tract infection comprising administering to a subject at risk an agent which increases

ceramide levels.

The inventions listed as Groups I to IV do not relate to a single general inventive

concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or

corresponding special technical features.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more

than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is

only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive

concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an

international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical

relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or

corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as

meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the

inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made

on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if

any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the

Art Unit: 1617

prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered

with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The common technical feature in all groups is an agent that increases ceramide

levels. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2

because the element is shown in the prior art.

In this case, Maurer et al. (J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 1999, vol. 91, pp. 1138-46)

teaches that N-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-retinamide increases ceramide (see title and

abstract).

As a result, no special technical features exist among the different groups

because the inventions in Groups I to IV fail to make a contribution over the prior art

with respect to novelty and inventive step. In conclusion, there is a lack of unity of

inventions, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,481

Art Unit: 1617

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that in order for the reply to this requirement to be complete it must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.141).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species or the following claims are below:

1) an agent that increases ceramide levels (claims 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,481

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the same reasons above. Maurer does not teach several agent that increase ceramide levels, but one.

Due to the number of groups, a telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Application/Control Number: 10/591,481

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KENDRA D. CARTER whose telephone number is (571)272-9034. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kendra D Carter/ Examiner, Art Unit 1617

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617